

q -Bessel Fourier Transform and Variation Diminishing kernel

Lazhar Dhaouadi ^{*} & Hedi Elmonser [†]

Abstract

In the present paper we will sketch q -analogues of the theory of variation diminishing $*_q$ -kernel using a recent development in q -Bessel Fourier theory. A q -analogue of the Macdonald function was introduced which plays a centrale role on our work.

Keywords : q -Bessel Fourier transform; Variation Diminishing kernel

2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification—Primary 33D15, 47A05.

1 Introduction

A real entire function $\Psi(s)$ is said to be in the Laguerre-Pólya-Schur class, if $\Psi(s)$ can be expressed in the form

$$\Psi(s) = Ce^{-\gamma s^2 + \delta s} \prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} (1 + \delta_{\nu} s) e^{-\delta_{\nu} s}$$

where $C > 0, \gamma \geq 0, \delta, \delta_{\nu}$ real, $\sum \delta_{\nu}^2 < \infty$. We denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the Laguerre-Pólya-Schur class and by $\mathcal{E}_e$ the subset of even functions of $\mathcal{E}$.

A measurable function $G(t)$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(t) dt = 1$$

is said to be a variation diminishing $*$ -kernel if

$$V(G * h) \leq V(h)$$

for all bounded measurable function h . Here $V(G)$ is the number of variations of sign of $G(t)$ in the range $(-\infty, \infty)$ and $*$ is the convolution product

$$f * h(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-t)h(t)dt.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{V}_*$ the set of all variation diminishing $*$ -kernels. Recall that the Fourier transform is defined as follow

$$\mathcal{F}G(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(t)e^{-ixt} dt.$$

^{*}IPEB, 7021 Zarzouna, Bizerte, Tunisia. E-mail : Lazhar.Dhaouadi@ipeib.rnu.tn

[†]IPEIB, 7021 Zarzouna, Bizerte, Tunisia. E-mail : monseur2004@yahoo.fr

In 1948, I. J. Schoenberg proved the following result [9, 10]:

$$\Lambda_* : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_*, \quad \Psi(s) \mapsto \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}\Psi(is)}$$

is a bijective operator.

The Hankel transform is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{H}_\nu G(x) = c_\nu \int_0^\infty G(t) j_\nu(xt) t^{2\nu+1} dt, \quad \nu > -1$$

where $j_\nu(\cdot)$ is the normalized Bessel function. Denote by $\star$ the convolution product associated to the Hankel transform. $\mathcal{V}_\star$ is defined exactly as $\mathcal{V}_*$ but we replace $(-\infty, \infty)$ by $(0, \infty)$ and dt by $t^{2\nu+1} dt$.

In 1959, I. I. Hirshman proved that [5]

$$\Lambda_\star : \mathcal{E}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_\star, \quad \Psi(s) \mapsto \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_\nu \Psi(is)}$$

is a bijective operator.

For $0 < q < 1$, the q -Fourier Bessel transform also called q -Hankel transform is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} G(x) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty G(t) j_\nu(xt, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t, \quad \nu > -1$$

where $j_\nu(\cdot, q^2)$ is the normalized q -Bessel function of Hahn-Exton. Denote by $*_q$ the q -convolution product associated to the q -Hankel transform. In this paper we prove that

$$\Lambda_{*_q} : \mathcal{E}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{*_q}, \quad \Psi(s) \mapsto \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} \Psi(is)}$$

is a bijective operator.

We introduce the q -Macdonald function and study some of its properties in particular its behaviour at 0 and ∞ . This function plays a central role in the proof of our result.

2 Preliminaries and q -Bessel Fourier transform

Throughout this paper, we consider $0 < q < 1$ and $\nu > -1$. We adopt the standard conventional notations of [4]. We put $\mathbb{R}_q^+ = \{q^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and for a complex number a

$$(a; q)_0 = 1, \quad (a; q)_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^i), \quad n = 1 \dots \infty.$$

The q -derivative of a function f is defined for $x \neq 0$ by

$$D_q f(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{(1 - q)x}.$$

The Jackson's q -integrals from 0 to a and from 0 to ∞ are defined by [6]

$$\int_0^a f(x) d_q x = (1 - q)a \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n f(aq^n), \quad \int_0^\infty f(x) d_q x = (1 - q) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^n f(q^n).$$

Also we have the following identity

$$\int_0^\infty f(ax)g(x)d_qx = \frac{1}{a} \int_0^\infty f(x)g(x/a)d_qx, \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}_q^+.$$

We set

$$c_{q,\nu} = \frac{1}{1-q} \frac{(q^{2\nu+2}; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty}.$$

The normalized q -Bessel function of Hahn-Exton is defined as follows [8]

$$j_\nu(x, q^2) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty (-1)^n \frac{q^{n(n+1)}}{(q^{2\nu+2}, q^2)_n (q^2, q^2)_n} x^{2n}.$$

In [1], the authors proved the following results

$$|j_\nu(q^n, q^2)| \leq \frac{(-q^2; q^2)_\infty (-q^{2\nu+2}; q^2)_\infty}{(q^{2\nu+2}; q^2)_\infty} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ q^{n^2 - (2\nu+1)n} & \text{if } n < 0 \end{cases}$$

and the function $x \mapsto j_\nu(ax, q^2)$ is a solution of the following q -differential equation

$$\left[1 + \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2}\right] f(x) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\Delta_{q,\nu}$ is the q -Bessel operator

$$\Delta_{q,\nu} f(x) = \frac{1}{x^2} [f(q^{-1}x) - (1 + q^{2\nu})f(x) + q^{2\nu}f(qx)]. \quad (2)$$

The q -Bessel Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}$ is defined by [1, 3, 7]

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} f(x) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty f(t) j_\nu(xt, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q.$$

The space $\mathcal{L}_{q,p,\nu}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ denote the sets of real functions on $\mathbb{R}_q^+$ for which

$$\|f\|_{q,p,\nu} = \left[\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^p x^{2\nu+1} d_q x \right]^{1/p}$$

is finite. Similarly $\mathcal{L}_{q,\infty}$ is the space of real functions on $\mathbb{R}_q^+$ for which

$$\|f\|_{q,\infty} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+} |f(x)| < \infty.$$

The spaces $\mathcal{C}_{q,0}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{q,b}$ denote the set of functions defined on $\mathbb{R}_q^+$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(q^n)$ exists, which are respectively vanishing at infinity and bounded. These spaces are equipped with the topology of uniform convergence. The q -Wiener algebra

$$\mathcal{A}_{q,\nu} = \{f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(f) \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}\}$$

is a subspace of $\mathcal{C}_{q,b}$ and

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}_{q,\nu}} = \mathcal{C}_{q,b}. \quad (3)$$

If $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ then $\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,0}$ and

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f\|_{q,\infty} \leq B_{q,\nu}\|f\|_{q,1,\nu} \quad (4)$$

where

$$B_{q,\nu} = \frac{1}{1-q} \frac{(-q^2; q^2)_\infty (-q^{2\nu+2}; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty}.$$

Given $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ then

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}^2(f)(x) = f(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+. \quad (5)$$

The q -Bessel Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} : \mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu}$ defines an isomorphism and for all functions $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu}$

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}^2(f) = f, \quad \|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(f)\|_{q,2,\nu} = \|f\|_{q,2,\nu}.$$

The q -Bessel translation operator is given by

$$T_{q,x}^\nu f(y) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}f(t) j_\nu(yt, q^2) j_\nu(xt, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t.$$

This operator can be written as follows

$$T_{q,x}^\nu f(y) = \int_0^\infty f(z) D_{q,\nu}(x, y, z) z^{2\nu+1} d_q z,$$

where

$$D_{q,\nu}(x, y, z) = c_{q,\nu}^2 \int_0^\infty j_\nu(xs, q^2) j_\nu(ys, q^2) j_\nu(zs, q^2) s^{2\nu+1} d_q s.$$

The q -convolution product is given by

$$f *_q g(x) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty T_{q,x}^\nu f(y) g(y) y^{2\nu+1} d_q y.$$

Given two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ then

$$f *_q g \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}, \quad (6)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(f *_q g) = \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(f) \times \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(g). \quad (7)$$

Let $1 \leq p, p', r$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} - 1 = \frac{1}{r}$. If $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,p,\nu}$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}_{q,p',\nu}$ then

$$f *_q g \in \mathcal{L}_{q,r,\nu}$$

and

$$\|f *_q g\|_{q,r,\nu} \leq B_{q,p,\nu} B_{q,p',\nu} B_{q,r',\nu} \|f\|_{q,p,\nu} \|g\|_{q,p',\nu}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1, \quad B_{p,q,\nu} = B_{q,\nu}^{(\frac{2}{p}-1)}.$$

In particular if $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}_{q,\infty}$ then

$$\|f *_q g\|_{q,\infty} \leq \|f\|_{q,1,\nu} \|g\|_{q,\infty}. \quad (8)$$

Similarly if $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ then

$$\|f *_q g\|_{q,1,\nu} \leq \|f\|_{q,1,\nu} \|g\|_{q,1,\nu}.$$

The next results concern approximates identities.

The q -Gauss kernel is defined by

$$G^\nu(x, c, q^2) = \frac{(-q^{2\nu+2}c, -q^{-2\nu}/c; q^2)_\infty}{(-c, -q^2/c; q^2)_\infty} e\left(-\frac{q^{-2\nu}}{c}x^2, q^2\right).$$

where $e(., q)$ is the q -exponential function

$$e(z, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(q, q)_n} = \frac{1}{(z; q)_\infty}, \quad |z| < 1.$$

Given $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu} \cap \mathcal{L}_{q,p,\nu}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \|f - f *_q G_\lambda^\nu\|_{q,p,\nu} = 0$$

where

$$G_\lambda^\nu : x \mapsto c_{q,\nu} G^\nu(x, \lambda^2, q^2).$$

Let $k_n(x) = G_{q^n}^\nu(x)$, For $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f *_q k_n\|_{q,1,\nu} = 0. \quad (9)$$

We conclude this section by the following result [2]:

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu}$ such that $\Delta_{q,\nu} f, \Delta_{q,\nu} g \in \mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu}$. If we have

$$D_q f(x) = O(x^{-\nu}) \quad \text{and} \quad D_q g(x) = O(x^{-\nu})$$

as $x \downarrow 0$ then

$$\langle \Delta_{q,\nu} f, g \rangle = \langle f, \Delta_{q,\nu} g \rangle, \quad (10)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}_{q,2,\nu}$.

Remark 1 Let $\nu > 0$ and suppose that the following integrals are finite

$$\int_0^\infty f(qx)g(x)x^{2\nu-1}d_qx, \quad \int_0^\infty f(x)g(x)x^{2\nu-1}d_qx, \quad \int_0^\infty f(x/q)g(x)x^{2\nu-1}d_qx$$

then $\langle \Delta_{q,\nu} f, g \rangle = \langle f, \Delta_{q,\nu} g \rangle$.

3 Elementary kernel

A real function f defined on $\mathbb{R}_q^+$ is said to have at least n changes of sign if there exist numbers

$$0 < t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n, \quad t_i \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$$

such that

$$f(t_i)f(t_{i-1}) < 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

f has exactly n changes of sign if it has at least n changes of sign and does not have at least $n+1$ changes of sign. The number of changes of sign of f is denoted by $V[f]$; $V[f]$ has one of the values $0, 1, \dots$ or $+\infty$.

Definition 1 A function $K \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ is said to be a variation diminishing $*_q$ -kernel if for every $f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,b}$ we have $V[K *_q f] \leq V[f]$.

Lemma 1 If f is a function defined on $\mathbb{R}_q^+$ such that either

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = 0$$

holds then $V[D_q f] \geq V[f]$.

Proof. We use the fact that

- If $f(q^i) > 0$ and $f(q^{i-1}) < 0$ then $D_q f(q^{i-1}) < 0$
- If $f(q^i) < 0$ and $f(q^{i-1}) > 0$ then $D_q f(q^{i-1}) > 0$ ■

Corollary 1 If h is a function defined on $\mathbb{R}_q^+$, and if Ω is a positive function such that either

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \Omega(x)h(x) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \Omega(x)h(x) = 0$$

holds then $V[D_q(\Omega h)] \geq V[h]$.

Definition 2 Consider the function

$$K_\nu(x) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty [1+t^2]^{-1} j_\nu(tx, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t.$$

This function can be viewed as a q -version of the Macdonald function [11, p. 434].

For $a > 0$, let us set

$$g_a(x) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \left[1 + \frac{x^2}{a^2}\right]^{-1} j_\nu(tx, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t.$$

In particular if $a \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$ then $g_a(x) = a^{2(\nu+1)} K_\nu(ax)$.

Theorem 1 The function $g_a \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ and we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(g_a)(x) = \left[1 + \frac{x^2}{a^2}\right]^{-1}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+. \quad (11)$$

In addition, the function g_a satisfies the following q -difference equation

$$\left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2}\right] g_a(x) = 0. \quad (12)$$

Proof. We will show that $K_\nu \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$.

There are three cases: i. $\nu > 0$; ii. $-1 < \nu < 0$ and iii. $\nu = 0$.

Case i. For $x < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{2\nu+2} |K_\nu(x)| &\leq c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{x^2}\right]^{-1} |j_\nu(t, q^2)| t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \\ &\leq \left\{ c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \left[1 + \frac{x^2}{t^2}\right]^{-1} |j_\nu(t, q^2)| t^{2\nu-1} d_q t \right\} x^2 \\ &\leq \left\{ c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty |j_\nu(t, q^2)| t^{2\nu-1} d_q t \right\} x^2 \end{aligned}$$

and then $\int_0^1 |K_\nu(x)| x^{2\nu+1} d_q x < \infty$. On the other hand, for $x > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
x^2 K_\nu(x) &= c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty [1+t^2]^{-1} x^2 j_\nu(xt, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \\
&= -c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty [1+t^2]^{-1} \Delta_{q,\nu} j_\nu(xt, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \\
&= -c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \Delta_{q,\nu} [1+t^2]^{-1} j_\nu(xt, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \quad (*) \\
&= -c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty u(t) j_\nu(xt, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t
\end{aligned}$$

where $u(t) = \Delta_{q,\nu} [1+t^2]^{-1}$ a bounded function on $\mathbb{R}_q^+$. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
x^2 [x^{2\nu+2} |K_\nu(x)|] &\leq c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty |u(t/x)| |j_\nu(t, q^2)| t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \\
&\leq \left\{ c_{q,\nu} \|u\|_{q,\infty} \int_0^\infty |j_\nu(t, q^2)| t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\int_1^\infty |K_\nu(x)| x^{2\nu+1} d_q x < \infty$. This proves that $K_\nu \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ and then (11) is a simple consequence of the inversion formula (5). To justify (*) we use Remark 1.

Case ii. In fact $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} K_\nu(x)$ exists and then $\int_0^1 |K_\nu(x)| x^{2\nu+1} d_q x < \infty$. Using the same method as in case i we prove that $\int_1^\infty |K_\nu(x)| x^{2\nu+1} d_q x < \infty$. But to justify (*) we use (10).

Case iii. For $x < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
x^2 |K_0(x)| &\leq c_{q,0} \int_0^\infty \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{x^2}\right]^{-1} |j_0(t, q^2)| t d_q t \\
&\leq \left\{ c_{q,0} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{t}{x}\right) \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{x^2}\right]^{-1} |j_0(t, q^2)| d_q t \right\} x \\
&\leq \left\{ c_{q,0} \int_0^\infty |j_0(t, q^2)| d_q t \right\} x
\end{aligned}$$

and then $\int_0^1 |K_\nu(x)| x^{2\nu+1} d_q x < \infty$. The proof is identical to case ii.

Now to prove the second result (12) we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2}\right] g_a(x) &= c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{a^2}\right]^{-1} \left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2}\right] j_\nu(tx, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t \\
&= c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty j_\nu(tx, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2}\right] j_\nu(tx, q^2) = \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{a^2}\right] j_\nu(tx, q^2).$$

This achieves the proof. ■

Corollary 2 *If $f \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ and if $h(x) = g_a *_{q} f(x)$ then*

$$\left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2}\right] h(x) = f(x).$$

Proof. By (6) and (7) we see that $h \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ and we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(h)(t) = \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(f)(t) \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{a^2}\right]^{-1}.$$

By (5), we have

$$h(x) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(f)(t) \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{a^2}\right]^{-1} j_\nu(tx, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t,$$

and so

$$\left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2}\right] h(x) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(f)(t) \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{a^2}\right]^{-1} \left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2}\right] j_\nu(tx, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t.$$

Using (1) we can see that

$$\left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2}\right] h(x) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(f)(t) j_\nu(tx, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t = f(x).$$

We note that the last equality is justified by (5). ■

Definition 3 *The modify q -Bessel function is defined as follows*

$$I_\nu(x) = j_\nu(ix, q^2), \quad i^2 = -1.$$

Remark 2 *The function $I_a : x \mapsto I_\nu(ax)$ satisfies $\left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2}\right] I_a(x) = 0$.*

Proposition 1 *We have*

$$\left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2}\right] h(x) = -\frac{q^{2\nu}}{a^2 x^{2\nu+1} I_a(x)} \Lambda_q^{-1} D_q \left\{ x^{2\nu+1} I_a(x) I_a(qx) D_q \left[\frac{h(x)}{I_a(x)} \right] \right\}.$$

Proof. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{q^{2\nu-1}}{a^2 x^{2\nu+1} I_a(x)} \Lambda_q^{-1} D_q \left\{ x^{2\nu+1} I_a(x) I_a(qx) D_q \left[\frac{h(x)}{I_a(x)} \right] \right\} \\ &= -\frac{q^{2\nu-1}}{a^2 x^{2\nu+1} I_a(x)} \Lambda_q^{-1} D_q \left\{ x^{2\nu+1} I_a(x) I_a(qx) \left[\frac{\frac{h(x)}{I_a(x)} - \frac{h(qx)}{I_a(qx)}}{x} \right] \right\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{a^2 x^2} h(q^{-1}x) - \frac{q^{2\nu}}{a^2 x^2} h(qx) + \frac{1}{a^2 x^2} \left\{ \frac{I_a(q^{-1}x) + q^{2\nu} I_a(qx)}{I_a(x)} \right\} h(x) \\ &= -\frac{1}{a^2 x^2} h(q^{-1}x) - \frac{q^{2\nu}}{a^2 x^2} h(qx) + \frac{1}{a^2 x^2} \left\{ \frac{(1 + q^{2\nu}) I_a(x) + a^2 x^2 I_a(x)}{I_a(x)} \right\} h(x) \\ &= \left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2}\right] h(x). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the result. ■

Theorem 2 For $a > 0$, g_a is a variation diminishing $*_q$ -kernel.

Proof. We note that if $h = g_a *_q f$ then $f(x) = \left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2}\right] h(x)$.

If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{q,\nu}$, then by the use of Proposition 1 and the fact that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} 1/I(ax) = 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{2\nu+1} I(ax) I(afx) = 0,$$

we can see that $V[f] \geq V[h]$.

If $f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,b}$ then by (3) there exists a sequence of functions $f_n \in \mathcal{A}_{q,\nu}$ such that

1. $\|f_n\|_{q,\infty,\nu} \leq \|f\|_{q,\infty,\nu}$
2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$.
3. $V[f_n] \leq V[f]$.

Let $h_n = g_a *_q f_n$. On the first hand, we have by the Lebesgue limit theorem $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(x) = h(x)$. On the other hand, we have, for each n , $V[h_n] \leq V[f_n] \leq V[f]$ and by passage to the limit, we have $V[h] \leq V[f]$. ■

4 Composite kernels

In this section we give a complex variation diminishing $*_q$ -kernel using the results proved in preceding section.

Lemma 2 If K_1 and K_2 are variation diminishing $*_q$ -kernels then $K = K_1 *_q K_2$ is also a variation diminishing $*_q$ -kernel.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,b}$, then

$$V[K *_q f] = V[K_1 *_q (K_2 *_q f)] \leq V[K_2 *_q f] \leq V[f].$$

Which achieves the proof. ■

Lemma 3 If h is a variation diminishing $*_q$ -kernel then either $h(x) \geq 0$ or $h(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$.

Proof. By (9) we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|h - h *_q k_n\|_{q,1,\nu} = 0$. Since h is variation diminishing $*_q$ -kernel we must have $V[h *_q k_n] \leq V[k_n] = 0$, this proves the result. ■

Remark 3 The function g_a is a variation diminishing $*_q$ -kernel and

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(g_a)(x) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty g_a(t) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t = \left[1 + \frac{x^2}{a^2}\right]_{x=0} = 1,$$

then $g_a(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$. Using (2) we see that

$$g_a(x/q) + q^{2\nu} g_a(qx) = [1 + q^{2\nu} + (ax)^2] g_a(x).$$

Now if there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$ such that $g_a(x) = 0$ then we get

$$g_a(x/q) = g_a(qx) = 0$$

and then $g_a(t) = 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$, but this is impossible. This proves that

$$g_a(t) > 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_q^+.$$

In particular $K_\nu(x) > 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+.$

Theorem 3 Let $c \geq 0$ and $0 < a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots$ where

$$a_k > 0, \quad \sum_k a_k^{-2} < \infty.$$

If $E(t) = e^{cx^2} \prod_k \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{a_k^2}\right]$ then $1/E(x)$ is the q -Bessel Fourier transform of a variation diminishing $*_q$ -kernel $G(t)$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(G)(x) = 1/E(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+.$$

In particular $h_c(x) = G^\nu(x, c^2, q^2)$ is a variation diminishing $*_q$ -kernel.

Proof. By (6) and (7) if $E_n(t)$ is of the form $\prod_{k=1}^n \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{a_k^2}\right]$ then $\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(G_n) = 1/E_n$ where $G_n(t) = g_{a_1} *_q \dots *_q g_{a_n}(t)$. Note that if n is sufficiently large then $1/E_n \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ and therefore $\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(1/E_n) = G_n$. Now consider

$$E(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{a_k^2}\right]$$

and define the function

$$G(x) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{E(t)} j_\nu(xt, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t.$$

Since $1/E \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ we deduce that $\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(G)(x) = 1/E(x)$. On the other hand (4) implies that

$$\|G - G_n\|_{q,\infty} = \|\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(1/E) - \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(1/E_n)\|_{q,\infty} \leq B_{q,\nu} \|1/E - 1/E_n\|_{q,1,\nu}$$

then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|G - G_n\|_{q,\infty} = 0$. Now we write

$$\|G - G_n\|_{q,1,\nu} = \int_0^\infty |G(t) - G_n(t)| t^{2\nu+1} d_q t.$$

Note that $G(t) \geq G_n(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$ (see Remark 4). Fubini's theorem leads to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|G - G_n\|_{q,1,\nu} = 0. \tag{13}$$

Given $f \in \mathcal{C}_{q,b}$. From (8) we get

$$\|G *_q f - G_n *_q f\|_{q,\infty} \leq \|G - G_n\|_{q,1,\nu} \|f\|_{q,\infty}.$$

By the use of (13) we see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|G *_q f - G_n *_q f\|_{q,\infty} = 0,$$

and thus if n is sufficiently large we get

$$V[G *_q f] = V[G_n *_q f] \leq V[f].$$

The term e^{ct^2} is the limit as n tends to infinity of

$$\left[1 + \frac{t^2}{a_k^2}\right]^n, \quad a_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n/c}}.$$

On the other hand if n tends to infinity then the limit of

$$E_n(t) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{a_k^2}\right], \quad a_k = \frac{1}{cq^k}$$

is $E(t) = e(-c^2 t^2, q^2)$, $c > 0$. Note that if

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(h_c)(t) = e(-c^2 t^2, q^2)$$

then we have [1].

$$h_c(x) = G^\nu(x, c^2, q^2),$$

this proves the result. ■

Remark 4 Our goal is to prove that $G(t) > G_n(t)$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$. Let

$$\tilde{G}_n(t) = g_{a_1} *_q \dots *_q g_{a_{n-1}}(t).$$

This function belongs on $\mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ and Corollary 2 implies that

$$G_n(t) = g_{a_n} *_q \tilde{G}_n(t)$$

is a solution of the following q -difference equation

$$\left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a_n^2}\right] G_n(x) = \tilde{G}_n(x).$$

Thus

$$G_n(x) - \frac{G_n(x/q) + q^{2\nu} G_n(qx)}{(a_n x)^2} = \frac{1 + q^{2\nu}}{(a_n x)^2} G_n(x) + \tilde{G}_n(x) \Rightarrow G_n(x) \geq \tilde{G}_n(x).$$

Now its easy to see that $G(x) \geq G_n(x)$.

5 Order properties

In this section we discuss some properties of the variation diminishing kernel.

Proposition 2 The q -Macdonald function K_ν satisfies the following properties

a. For all $x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$ we have

$$\Lambda_q^{-1} D_q K_\nu(x) = -\frac{q}{1-q} x K_{\nu+1}(x).$$

b. For all $x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$ we have

$$x^{2(\nu+1)} \left[\frac{1}{1-q^{2\nu+2}} K_\nu(x) I_{\nu+1}(x) + K_{\nu+1}(x) I_\nu(x) \right] = d_\nu > 0.$$

c. If $\nu > 0$ then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^{2\nu} K_\nu(x) = d_\nu \Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^{2\nu+1} K_\nu(x) = 0.$$

d. If $\nu = 0$ then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x K_0(x) = 0.$$

e. If $-1 < \nu < 0$ then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^{2\nu+1} K_\nu(x) = 0.$$

Proof. The q -Wronskian was introduced in [2] as follows

$$W_x(I_\nu, K_\nu) = q^{-2}(1-q)^2 \left[\Lambda_q^{-1} D_q I_\nu(x) K_\nu(x) - \Lambda_q^{-1} D_q K_\nu(x) I_\nu(x) \right]$$

where $\Lambda_q f(x) = f(qx)$, and we have

$$D_q \left[y \mapsto y^{2\nu+1} W_y(I_\nu, K_\nu) \right] (x) = \left\{ \Delta_{q,\nu} I_\nu(x) K_\nu(x) - I_\nu(x) \Delta_{q,\nu} K_\nu(x) \right\} x^{2\nu+1} = 0.$$

Using the following relation

$$\Lambda_q^{-1} D_q \left[j_\nu(\cdot, q^2) \right] (t) = -\frac{q}{(1-q)(1-q^{2\nu+2})} t j_{\nu+1}(t, q^2),$$

we prove (a.) and (b.). The fact that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^{2(\nu+1)} K_\nu(x) = 0$ leads to (c.). To prove (d.) we use the result proved in Theorem 1, Case iii. If $-1 < \nu < 0$ then $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} K_\nu(x)$ exist and (e.) holds true. ■

Proposition 3 Let G a variation diminishing $*_q$ -kernel. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$. Then $G(x)/g_a(x)$ does not have a local minimum in $\mathbb{R}_q^+$.

Proof. We first note that

$$\left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2} \right] h_c(x) = \left[1 + \frac{1-q^{2\nu+2}}{a^2 q^{2\nu+2} c} - \frac{x^2}{a^2 q^{2\nu+2} c^2} \right] h_c(x/q)$$

has at most one change of sign in $\mathbb{R}_q^+$. It follows since G is a variation diminishing kernel that

$$G(x) *_q \left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2} \right] h_c(x)$$

has at most one change of sign for $x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$. Since

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} \left[G(t) *_q \left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2} \right] h_c(t) \right] (x) = \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu} \left[\left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2} \right] G(x) *_q h_c(t) \right] (x)$$

we deduce that

$$\left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2} \right] G(x) *_q h_c(x) = G(x) *_q \left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2} \right] h_c(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+.$$

Let $c \rightarrow 0$ we deduce that

$$\left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2}\right] G(x)$$

has at most one change of sign. On the other hand

$$\left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2}\right] G(x) = -\frac{q^{2\nu}}{a^2 x^{2\nu+1} g_a(x)} \Lambda_q^{-1} D_q \left\{ x^{2\nu+1} g_a(x) g_a(qx) D_q \left[\frac{G(x)}{g_a(x)} \right] \right\}.$$

Let us set

$$H(x) = x^{2\nu+1} g_a(x) g_a(qx) D_q \left[\frac{G(x)}{g_a(x)} \right] = x^{2\nu+1} \left[g_a(x) D_q G(x) - G(x) D_q g_a(x) \right].$$

The fact that g_a and G belong to $\mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$ leads to

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} H(x) = 0. \quad (14)$$

Now if $G(x)/g_a(x)$ have a local minimum in $c \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$ then $H(x)$ would have some negative values to the left of c and some negative values to the right of c and then $D_q H(x)$ would have some positive values. Using (14) we see that $D_q H(x)$ would have some negative values. In the following we will show that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} H(x) \geq 0, \quad (15)$$

and then $D_q H(x)$ would have some negative values, but this is impossible because

$$\left[1 - \frac{\Delta_{q,\nu}}{a^2}\right] G(x)$$

has at most one change of sign. To prove (15) we use Proposition 2. We have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^{2\nu+1} g_a(x) D_q G(x) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left[-x^{2\nu+1} G(x) D_q g_a(x) \right] = a^2 d_\nu G(0) \geq 0.$$

which proves the result. ■

Theorem 4 *There is a value $a \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$ such that*

$$G(x) = O\left[g_a(x)\right], \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Proposition 3 implies that for a given $a \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$, the function $G(x)/g_a(x)$ is either non-decreasing on $\mathbb{R}_q^+$ or it is non-increasing. In the second case the theorem holds true. Now we discuss the first case. Suppose that for every $a \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$ the function $G(x)/g_a(x)$ is non-decreasing. Let $\nu > 0$ then

$$G(x) x^{2\nu} = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} d_\nu a^{2\nu} G(x) / g_a(x).$$

So $G(x) x^{2\nu}$ is non-decreasing but this is impossible because $G \in \mathcal{L}_{q,1,\nu}$. Using the same idea for $-1 < \nu < 0$ where

$$G(x) = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} c_\nu a^{2\nu+2} G(x) / g_a(x),$$

which achieves the proof. ■

Corollary 3 *There exist $a \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$ such that*

$$z \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(G)(z)$$

is analytic in the disc $|z| < a$.

Proof. We have

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(G)(x) = c_{q,\nu} \int_0^\infty G(t) j_\nu(xt, q^2, q^2) t^{2\nu+1} d_q t.$$

According to Proposition 2 we get

$$x^{2(\nu+1)} K_\nu(x) I_{\nu+1}(x) < (1 - q^{2\nu+2}) d_\nu, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}_q^+.$$

In [2] the authors proved that there exist $M > 0$ such that

$$I_\nu(qx)/I_\nu(x) < Mx^{-2}, \quad \forall x > 1.$$

Which implies that there exist $M' > 0$ such that

$$x^{2(\nu+1)} K_\nu(x) I_\nu(x) < M' x^{-2}, \quad \forall x > 1.$$

From Theorem 4 we see that there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$ such that $G(x) = O[g_a(x)]$ and then there exists $M'' > 0$ such that

$$x^{2(\nu+1)} G(x) I_\nu(ax) < M'' x^{-2}, \quad \forall x > 1.$$

This proves that $z \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(G)(z)$ is analytic in the circle $|z| < a$. ■

6 Variation diminishing kernel

Lemma 4 *Let $G(x)$ be a variation diminishing $*_q$ -kernel. Let $f(x)$ be a real function on $\mathbb{R}_q^+$ such that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$ the integral*

$$G *_q f(y) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty G(x) f(z) D_\nu(x, y, z) x^{2\nu+1} d_q x z^{2\nu+1} d_q z$$

is absolutely convergent then

$$V[G *_q f] \leq V[f].$$

Proof. See [5, p. 331]. ■

The following theorem is a special case of a theorem of Pölya [10]

Theorem 5 *Let $\varphi(t)$ be analytic for $|z| \leq r$ for some $r > 0$. If there exists a sequence of polynomials $P_n(z)$ of the form*

$$P_n(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left[1 - \left(\frac{z}{a_{nj}} \right)^2 \right]$$

where the a_{nj} are positives, such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(t) = \varphi(t)$ uniformly in the circle $|z| \leq r$, then $\varphi(z)$ is of the form

$$\varphi(z) = e^{-cz^2} \prod_j \left[1 - \frac{z^2}{a_j^2} \right]$$

where c is non-negative, the a_j are positives and $\sum_j a_j^{-2} < \infty$.

Theorem 6 *The following operator*

$$\Lambda_{*q} : \mathcal{E}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{*q}, \quad \Psi(s) \mapsto \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}\Psi(is)}$$

define a bijection.

Proof. According to Corollary 3 it follows that there exist $a \in \mathbb{R}_q^+$ such that

$$z \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(G)(z)$$

is analytic in the circle $|z| < a$. On the other hand $\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(G)(0) = 1$. It follows that if

$$\Omega(z) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}_{q,\nu}(G)(z)}$$

then there exist $0 < \rho < a$ such that $\Omega(t)$ is analytic in the circle $|t| < \rho$. The function $\Omega(t)$ is even, then we have $\Omega(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_k t^{2k}$. Using the following formula [1]

$$T_{q,x}^\nu j_\nu(ty, q^2) = j_\nu(tx, q^2) j_\nu(ty, q^2)$$

we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty j_\nu(zt, q^2) D_\nu(x, y, z) z^{2\nu+1} d_q z = j_\nu(tx, q^2) j_\nu(ty, q^2),$$

and then

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty G(x) \Omega(t) j_\nu(zt, q^2) D_\nu(x, y, z) z^{2\nu+1} d_q z x^{2\nu+1} d_q x = j_\nu(ty, q^2).$$

For $\epsilon > 0$ let us set $p_{\epsilon,n}(r) = (\epsilon - r)(2\epsilon - r) \dots (n\epsilon - r)$. Applying $p_{\epsilon,n}(-\Delta_{q,\nu})$ to both sides we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty G(x) \left[p_{\epsilon,n}(-\Delta_{q,\nu}) \Omega(t) j_\nu(zt, q^2) \right] D_\nu(x, y, z) z^{2\nu+1} d_q z x^{2\nu+1} d_q x = p_{\epsilon,n}(y^2) j_\nu(ty, q^2).$$

For $t = 0$ we get

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty G(x) q_{\epsilon,n}(z) D_\nu(x, y, z) z^{2\nu+1} d_q z x^{2\nu+1} d_q x = p_{\epsilon,n}(y^2)$$

where

$$q_{\epsilon,n}(z) = \left[p_{\epsilon,n}(-\Delta_{q,\nu}) \Omega(t) j_\nu(zt, q^2) \right]_{t=0}.$$

By Lemma 4, $n = V[p_{\epsilon,n}(y^2)] \leq V[q_{\epsilon,n}(z)]$ which implies that $q_{\epsilon,n}$ is an even polynomial of degree $2n$ has only real zero. Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$q_n(z) = q_{0,n}(z) = \left[\Delta_{q,\nu}^n \Omega(t) j_\nu(zt, q^2) \right]_{t=0}$$

which is an even polynomial of degree $2n$ has only real zero. Since

$$\Delta_{q,\nu}^n t^{2n} = \prod_{i=1}^n [q^{-2i} - (1 + q^{2\nu}) + q^{2\nu+2i}] = \varrho_n$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} q_n(z) &= \varrho_n \sum_{i+j=n} (-1)^i \frac{q^{i(i+1)}}{(q^{2\nu+2}, q^2)_i (q^2, q^2)_i} w_j z^{2i} \\ &= \varrho_n \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^{n-j} \frac{q^{(n-j)(n-j+1)}}{(q^{2\nu+2}, q^2)_{n-j} (q^2, q^2)_{n-j}} w_j z^{2n-2j}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\sigma_\nu = (q^{2\nu+2}, q^2)_\infty (q^2, q^2)_\infty$ and define

$$Q_n(z) = \sigma_\nu \frac{(-1)^n}{\varrho_n} q^{n^2} z^{2n} q_n \left(\frac{1}{q^{n+\frac{1}{2}} z} \right) = \sigma_\nu \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \frac{q^{j^2}}{(q^{2\nu+2}, q^2)_{n-j} (q^2, q^2)_{n-j}} w_j z^{2j}.$$

$Q_n(z)$ has only real zero and using Theorem 5 we see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j q^{j^2} w_j z^{2j} \in \mathcal{E}_e.$$

Which proves that

$$\mathcal{L}_q \circ \Lambda_{*q} : \mathcal{V}_{*q} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_e$$

is an injective operator, where $\mathcal{L}_q$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{L}_q : \Phi(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n s^{2n} \mapsto \mathcal{L}_q \circ \Phi(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n q^{n^2} s^{2n}.$$

According to Theorem 3 we see that

$$\Lambda_{*q} : \mathcal{E}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{*q}$$

is also injective. Note that $\Lambda_{*q}^2 = id$ and thus

$$\mathcal{L}_q : \mathcal{E}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_e$$

is injective. In particular

$$\mathcal{L}_q : \mathcal{E}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_q(\mathcal{E}_e)$$

is bijective, which proves that

$$\mathcal{L}_q \circ \Lambda_{*q} : \mathcal{V}_{*q} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_q(\mathcal{E}_e)$$

is also bijective. In the end

$$\Lambda_{*q} : \mathcal{V}_{*q} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_e$$

is bijective because

$$\mathcal{L}_q^{-1} \circ \mathcal{L}_q \circ \Lambda_{*q} = \Lambda_{*q},$$

which proves our result. ■

References

- [1] L. Dhaouadi, A. Fitouhi and J. El Kamel, Inequalities in q -Fourier Analysis, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 7, Issue 5, Article 171 (2006).
- [2] L. Dhaouadi, W. Binous and A. Fitouhi, Paley-Wiener theorem for the q -Bessel transform and associated q -sampling formula, Expo. Math, Volume 27, Number 1, Article 55-72 (2009).
- [3] A. Fitouhi, M.Hamza and F. Bouzeffour, The q - j_α Bessel function, J. Appr. Theory, Volume 115, Article 144-166 (2002).
- [4] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic hypergeometric series, Encycopedia of mathematics and its applications. Cambridge university press, Volume 35 (1990).
- [5] I. I. Hirschman, Jr, Variation diminishing Hankel transforms, J. Analyse. Math, Volume 8, Article 307-336 (1961).
- [6] F. H. Jackson, On a q -Definite Integrals, Quarterly Journal of Pure and Application Mathematics, Volume 41, Article 193-203 (1910).
- [7] T. H. Koornwinder and R. F. Swarttouw, On q -Analogues of the Hankel and Fourier Transform, Trans. A. M. S, Volume 333, Article 445-461 (1992).
- [8] R. F. Swarttouw, The Hahn-Exton q -Bessel functions, PhD Thesis, The Technical University of Delft (1992).
- [9] I. J. Schoenberg, On Pòlya frequency function I, J. Analyse. Math, Volume 1, Article 331-374 (1951).
- [10] I. J. Schoenberg, On Pòlya frequency function II, Acta. Sci. Math. Szegzd, Volume 12, Article 97-106 (1950).
- [11] G. N. Watson, A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions, Cambridge at the University Press (1922).